IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.K.BASHEER

TUESDAY, THE 24TH JULY 2007 / 2ND SRAVANA 1929

WP(C).No. 22697 of 2007(B)

PETITIONER:

K.M.SUSMITHA, HSA (MATHS),
SANTHINIKETHAN HIGH SCHOOL, THIRUVALLUR,
VADAKARA.

BY ADV. SRI.R.K.MURALEEDHARAN

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. THE STATE OF KERALA REPRESENTED BY
SECRETARY TO GENERAL EDUCATION DEPARTMENT,
TRIVANDRUM.

2. THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTIONS,
TRIVANDRUM.

3. THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF EDUCATION,
KOZHIKODE.

4. THE DISTRICT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER,
VADAKARA.

5. THE MANAGER SANTHINIKETHAN HIGH SCHOOL,
THIRUVALLUR VADAKARA.

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.A.J.VARGHESE

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 24/07/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

A.K.BASHEER, J.

W.P.(C)No.22697 OF 2007

Dated this the 24th day of July, 2007

JUDGMENT

Petitioner contends that she has been working as High School Assistant (Maths) in the school under the management of respondent no.5 with effect from February 21, 2001 onwards. However, in Ext.P3 order, the Deputy Director of Education, Kozhikode took the view that petitioner cannot be retained in the school consequent on the fixation of staff strength in the school for the academic year 2004-05. Though Ext.P4 revision petition was preferred against Ext.P3 order, the Director concurred with the view taken by the Deputy Director. Learned counsel submits that the order of the Director has been challenged by the petitioner before respondent no.1 in Ext.P6 revision petition.

2. In view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner at the Bar, I do not deem it necessary to refer to the various contentions raised by the petitioner in this writ petition. The limited prayer is to issue a direction to respondent no.1 to take a decision on Ext.P6 expeditiously.

3. In the above facts and circumstances, writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent no.1 to consider and pass orders on Ext.P6 strictly on its merit and in accordance with law as expeditiously as possible, at any rate, within four months from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment. Petitioner and the manager shall be afforded sufficient opportunity to be heard before any decision is taken in the matter. Petitioner shall produce a copy of the writ petition along with a certified copy of the judgment before respondent no.1 for compliance.

Writ petition is disposed of as above.

A.K.BASHEER, JUDGE

jes